single point of interconnection and shouldn't be required to connect to the new tandem?

A (Mr. Albert) I don't know if my understanding of their position goes that deep, because I'm not familiar with all of the particulars of their term of their interconnection agreement. The summary and synopsis I just gave you is the level of depth with which I have been involved and with which I'm familiar. So representing their position any further, I don't feel like I can accurately do that.

Q Mr. Albert, if a CLEC has a single point of interconnection with Verizon to the tandems, isn't it true that you create a single point of failure if there's a problem with the facilities, with the equipment at that single point of interconnection, or potential single point of failure?

A (Mr. Albert) I would say that's potentially true. If you're using the term "point of interconnection" to refer to the location such as the central office, it is possible for a CLEC and

#### ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

for a LEC to have diversified transport facilities that exist within that central office that would significantly reduce or potentially even eliminate having single points of failure.

But depending on the specific design of the interconnection that those parties use, it is possible that they could have a design where it was a single point. But it's not necessary and it's not required.

- Q Mr. D'Amico, may I please refer you to page 6 of your direct testimony, line 13.
  - A (Mr. D'Amico) Okay.
- Q Where you say Cavalier's costs in the event of a tandem rehoming should be reasonable. Do you know if, in fact, those costs are reasonable?
- A (Mr. D'Amico) Well, again, I don't know the specific costs of each CLEC or Cavalier's specific costs, but again, rehoming a tandem is an event that has happened, and all parties are impacted, as well -- you know, including Verizon.
  - O Sure.
- A (Mr. D'Amico) So the cost to rehome to

# ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC. Nationwide Coverage

that	partio	cular	new t	andem	are bo	rne	рy	each	
indiv	/idual	party	, and	d they	should	be	rea	sonab	le.

Q But you don't know for each particular party if they are, in fact, reasonable, each and every one?

A (Mr. D'Amico) No, again, I don't know the specific cost numbers, but Mr. Albert is raising his hand.

Q Yes, I see that.

(Laughter.)

Mr. Albert, could you --

A (Mr. Albert) My perspective is the cost that CLECs and wireless customers and IXCs all incur as part of a new tandem going into the network are reasonable. On our end, we, Verizon, will typically be in the range of about 10 million that we spend for a new large tandem like Arlington going into the network.

For a carrier, be that a CLEC or be that a wireless or be that an IXC, for them to establish facilities to that new tandem, they were -- their costs are nowhere at all in the ballpark of the 10

#### ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

million that we're paying. Even the total universe of carriers' costs, if you add them all up, they're nowhere near the ballpark of the 10 million that we're paying.

So from that perspective, I believe that their pieces of cost are reasonable and it's from the perspective of relative to what we're paying cash out of pocket.

Q But in response to my specific question, do you know for a fact that each and every CLEC's costs are reasonable for that particular -- for these two particular rehomings that we've discussed in the testimony?

A (Mr. Albert) My opinion from perspective, for the reasons I just stated, I would say yes, I believe that they are.

Q But you don't know for a fact, do you?

A (Mr. Albert) I don't know what each individual CLEC's wireless and IXC's specific costs were for the tandem in Arlington or the tandem in Richmond. I couldn't give you a dollar cost to the penny. But I can say that their total costs would

have been less than ours. When we're talking CLECs involved, it was like over 50 different carriers that were involved with the new tandem going into Turner Road in Richmond.

MS. NATOLI: Mr. Perkins, it's almost time. Do you have -- do you need a little bit more time? Do you have more questions?

MR. PERKINS: Wrapping up, one last quick question here.

#### BY MR. PERKINS:

Q Mr. Albert, do you know what the cost of building fiber to a new tandem would be for a CLEC?

A (Mr. Albert) Incrementally it could be anywhere from zero to some hundred thousand. Like in the case of Arlington, most CLECs, most other carriers, already had facilities into Arlington. So incrementally, you know, that would be nothing. If somebody didn't have anything into a particular location, then they would be looking at either collocating or purchasing transport from somebody, they could be in the couple hundred thousand dollar neighborhood if they had to do that.

#### ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

Q In fact, it could be even more, couldn't it, if someone were to have to actually build their own fiber facilities?

A (Mr. Albert) If that was the case, that's where I said you could easily be in the several hundred thousand dollars.

A (Mr. D'Amico) I do have one thing to add to that. The CLEC is in control of some of those facility costs, by designating the POI. In section 4 of the interconnection agreement, Cavalier, as well as whatever other CLEC would have that language, would be in control of establishing the point of interconnection, and each party would be responsible for their facilities on each side of the POI.

So again, not to confuse the difference between the POI and the new tandem being added, if Cavalier chose to have a POI that wasn't at that tandem, then Verizon would be responsible for the transport to get to that particular tandem.

In that example, they are in control of the facility costs.

#### ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

MS. NATOLI: I'm sorry, I don't mean to interrupt, but I do think it's point for a point of clarification. You're saying, then, that if a CLEC chooses a point of interconnection and wants to construct its own facilities, its fiber facilities, to the point of interconnection, what then happens if Verizon decides to rehome that particular tandem that they have chosen as their point of interconnection to another tandem?

Would the CLEC then be required to somehow either extend its fiber to the new tandem or to purchase trunk groups from the tandem where it is currently interconnected to get to the new tandem?

And I think that's the relevant issue here, at least -- I mean, that's important for my understanding.

MR. D'AMICO: Correct. They have the choice. In other words, if they have a POI at tandem A and then tandem B is established, they have the choice to establish a POI at that tandem B or they can continue to have their POI, their point of interconnection, at tandem A, and then although

#### ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

there would still be trunking required to that
tandem, because of as Mr. Albert indicated, you need
to route it based on the LERG, the facility cost
would be on Verizon's side of the point of
interconnection, and therefore Verizon would be
responsible for that.
MR. LERNER: And they just pay a higher
reciprocal compensation?
MR. ALBERT: No, there wouldn't be a
change. Reciprocal compensation is an averaged
rate. So we would put in the transport facilities
between, say, like the first tandem and the second
tandem, and they would continue to pay reciprocal
compensation at the same, you know, approved,
in-place rates that had previously existed.
MS. NATOLI: And they would not have to
pay the cost of the trunks between the two tandems
to get the traffic?
MR. ALBERT: There wouldn't be trunks.
MS. NATOLI: Or whatever the facility is.
MR. ALBERT: That the transport

### ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

facilities, those would be Verizon's costs and there

1	would not be on our side of the POI, our physical						
2	facilities, and there's not a charge to the CLEC for						
3	those.						
4	MR. LERNER: Other than the blended						
5	reciprocal compensation rate.						
6	MR. ALBERT: Other than whatever the						
7	approved reciprocal compensation rates that						
8	previously existed were.						
9	MR. D'AMICO: Which is based on usage.						
10	MR. LERNER: Any further questions on C2,						
11	Cavalier?						
12	MR. PERKINS: No further questions.						
13	MR. LERNER: Verizon, you may						
14	cross-examine.						
15	MS. NEWMAN: We have decided to waive						
16	cross.						
17	MS. DAILEY: Well, should I go? Let's						
18	follow up on that last point that we were						
19	discussing.						
20	Cavalier, can you address why that's not						
21	satisfactory, why that wouldn't work for you?						
22	MR. CLIFT: I'll address it from the terms						

## ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

of an interconnection agreement. And I think we were talking about a change of an interconnection point and sometimes the interconnection point and point of interconnection are being used interchangeably. But for the sake of this argument, it's really the same physical point of interconnection. And if it were the case that Cavalier would incur no additional costs and could leave the physical interconnection point at tandem A without moving it to tandem B, then we would have done so.

But Verizon has instructed us, you must move your interconnection point to tandem B and incur the additional cost of getting to tandem B. And if there's a facility cost involved, we would either, A, have to construct that, or buy lease facilities to get to tandem B, the facility costs and trunking costs associated with that.

MS. DAILEY: Basically what you're saying is what Mr. Albert and Mr. D'Amico just told us is incorrect?

MR. CLIFT: That's correct.

### ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

19

20

21

22

1	MS. DAILEY: Verizon?
2	MR. D'AMICO: In the past, previous
3	contracts, Verizon did have an architecture or
4	conceptual thing which some of you may be familiar
5	with, where there's a POI and an interconnection
6	point and we get into GRIP and VGRIP and all of
7	that.
8	MS. DAILEY: That's not part of the
9	proposal here.
10	MR. D'AMICO: That's not part of this.
11	When there was a POI and an IP structure, that was
12	correct, if the POI was at tandem A, then Verizon's
13	IPs would have been all of its tandems or end
14	offices and there would be facility charges to get
15	to those IPs.
16	Under this proposal, under this structure
17	and again, focusing on section 4.1.1, the route tha
1	

t we're taking here is there's a point of interconnection and each party is responsible to get up to that point of interconnection, and the charges that apply beyond that would be reciprocal compensation.

#### ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC. Nationwide Coverage

1.0

1.2

1.4

1.7

MR. ALBERT: If you look at the interconnection agreement we're now negotiating with Cavalier, the going forward, they get to pick the interconnection points. Once they have picked them -- when I say "interconnection point," I'm talking where their wires physically meet our wires. But they get to pick them.

Once they picked them, we are allowed to use them, "we" Verizon. But we aren't allowed even to change them. In the contract going forward, they pick them and we can use them, and we don't even have the ability to change them.

So we're not even going to have in the going-forward contract the circumstance where Verizon is able to request that they change this location of where the wires physically meet each other.

Now, if you look at past contracts with past CLECs, there were all sorts of different varieties and variations on who paid for transport under what circumstances. But that's not what we've got going forward. It's not what we had in the

### ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

1.3

Verizon Virginia, AT&T WorldCom contracts, where the same nature -- nature of the same issue of the POI and who picks it was dealt with.

MS. SHETLER: So is what Verizon is saying, that under the terms you've proposed, you would not -- Verizon would not be sending a letter to Cavalier saying you have to move your facilities from tandem A to tandem B?

MR. ALBERT: We'd be sending the industry a letter saying that you have to establish trunks according to the LERG, to the new tandem. We would send that to all IXCs, wireless, CLECs.

MS. SHETLER: Cavalier would have the choice if it was interconnecting at tandem A of saying I don't want to build facilities to tandem B, I would like Verizon to establish the transport between tandem A and tandem B and we will just pay the same recip comp rate that we would have paid had you never established the new tandem?

MR. ALBERT: That -- and Pete can correct me if I'm wrong, but that's my understanding of the way our going forward contract would work.

#### ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

1	MR. D'AMICO: As long as the POI, the
2	point of interconnection, is on Verizon's network.
3	And again, that is defined in the contract.
4	MS. SHETLER: Can I ask Cavalier, does
5 ·	this make sense? As you understand the contract?
6	That you would not have to, under the new contract,
7	establish new facilities?
8	MR. CLIFT: It's my understanding that the
9	physical link between point A and point B, tandem A
10	and tandem B, that that physical connection between
11	those two tandems, it's our responsibility to make
12	that physical connection.
13	MS. DAILEY: Verizon is saying no, that
14	you're willing to make the physical connection now,
15	under the new contract.
16	MR. D'AMICO: Correct. If
17	MS. DAILEY: And pay for it.
18	MR. D'AMICO: It's up to Cavalier.
19	They're deciding where the POI is. If they want to
20	maintain the POI at tandem A, then yes, we're
21	responsible on our side of the POI. If they would
22	like to for whatever reasons have multiple POIs,

### ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

then they would be responsible to get to those additional POIs.

MS. NATOLI: Can I ask a question? Cavalier -- if they decide to leave their POI at the original tandem, do they get charged any additional element or rate in reciprocal comp or anything else as a result of their traffic traversing the transport link between the two switches?

MR. D'AMICO: The rate they would pay for traffic, reciprocal compensation traffic terminating on Verizon's network from tandem A to tandem B on those tandem trunks, would be the tandem reciprocal compensation rate.

> MS. NATOLI: The transport rate.

MR. D'AMICO: And I believe the current rate in Virginia, I wrote it down somewhere, has a couple zeros in it, and I'll find it in a second here.

MS. NATOLI: You know what? The particular rate isn't as important as -- but let me ask you this. Is that rate a rate element that they would be charged prior to the rehoming? What I'm

you?

saying is, if they directly had a point of
interconnection at the original tandem and then
they you move it to the next tandem, are they
paying any portion of that rate element in the first
instance?
MR. D'AMICO: The tandem rate is the same,
whether scenario A or B.
MR. LERNER: The only way to get a lower
rate is if they bypassed the tandem altogether and
interconnected at a particular end office and then
they would just get the lower
MR. ALBERT: Yeah. And again that's their
choosing, they get to pick. Once they have picked
it, we can also use it. But they get to pick it.
MR. D'AMICO: If they establish a POI at
that end office and again, I found the rates. I
believe the end office rate is 000927 versus a
tandem rate of 00159. So those are fairly low rates
for reciprocal compensation. Some of the lowest of
all the states that I've
MS. DAILEY: Cavalier, is this news to

## ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

MR. CLIFT: It seems like a different concept that we're unfamiliar with. And it's not -- that's not the way the practice has worked in the past. Now, what he's describing is a new practice. It's my understanding -- and that's not talk -- I think talking about reciprocal compensation kind of confuses the issue, really.

I think what we really have to talk about, to simplify this term, is the physical connection between the new point B as opposed to point A. And if Verizon is saying that they can -- that they will provide the traffic link, the new facility up to point B, wherever point B would be, that's a new term to us.

MS. DAILEY: Well, it's not too late for the parties to negotiate on this issue, so that's a thought.

MR. CLIFT: If I may add on that, in that, you know, again, the reason why I'm saying it's a new term, because we've had discussions. We went through a long process of negotiation of this thing we're calling GRIPs. And the concept --

### ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

1	MS. SHETLER: Could you define that for
2	the benefit of the record?
3	MR. CLIFT: I'm sorry. "GRIPs" was a
4	buzzword in the industry dealing with transport
5	interconnection between CLEC networks and Verizon
6	networks, and "GRIP" stands for a geographically
7	relevant interconnection point. The term is used
8	generically about in terms of what I want to talk
9	about generically, in term of who pays whom for
10	transport between the networks. That's really what
11	it all boils down to.
12	We aren't talking about recip comp. We're
13	talking about transport charges for trunks and for
13	talking about transport charges for trunks and for facilities.
14	facilities.
14 15	facilities.  MS. DAILEY: But I'm sorry to
14 15 16	facilities.  MS. DAILEY: But I'm sorry to  interrupt. Verizon has said that GRIPs is not on
14 15 16	facilities.  MS. DAILEY: But I'm sorry to  interrupt. Verizon has said that GRIPs is not on the table.
14 15 16 17	facilities.  MS. DAILEY: But I'm sorry to  interrupt. Verizon has said that GRIPs is not on  the table.  MR. CLIFT: No, I understand.
14 15 16 17 18	facilities.  MS. DAILEY: But I'm sorry to  interrupt. Verizon has said that GRIPs is not on  the table.  MR. CLIFT: No, I understand.  MS. DAILEY: I don't want to waste our

reason why we appear to be confused as to whom would
do what is that the interconnection point is
changing. Up what Verizon is saying is that they
sent us a letter saying, beginning on this date,
you've got to rehome your traffic from Turner to
Gray, and it was our understanding that we had to
provide that facility and use new facilities to get
to Gray Street, as opposed to Turner.
What Mr. Albert is saying is we can just
continue to pass traffic at Turner Street.
MR. D'AMICO: Under the new contract.
Under the old contract, yes, you are responsible for
those facilities. Under this proposal, you have the
choice.
MR. CLIFT: Well, I guess that's their
arbitration point here. That's really what it boils
down to.
MS. DAILEY: I mean, we're not going
MR. CLIFT: The point is
MS. DAILEY: We are arbitrating a new
agreement. That's I mean, and historically, you

know, the Commission has dealt with GRIPs in the

past as well. But, you know, this is a going-forward issue, and I encourage the parties to take this discussion off line and see if maybe this is the solution to Cavalier's problem. It sounds like it might be, I guess.

I did want to ask a couple more questions. One more follow-up, just to make sure that I have an understanding. Verizon is not saying -- is Verizon saying that in order to be eligible for this essential free transport between point A and point B, that there has to be a single point of interconnection in the LATA?

MR. D'AMICO: No.

MR. ALBERT: Huh-uh. No, they get to pick the points. They can pick one, they can pick 10, and then we get to use them once they pick them. I mean, I think in our negotiations, my understanding is for interconnection, we've been morphing off of the AT&T Verizon Virginia agreement. And we know the outcome of that arbitration, and that's, you know, what that agreement conforms to.

MS. DAILEY: How many tandems does Verizon

#### ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

1	have in Virginia approximately?
2	MR. ALBERT: Oh, probably something
3	under around 10 or under. We've got five LATAs,
4	one tandem in Northern Virginia, one in Richmond, a
5	couple in Tidewater. Then we've got them sprinkled
6	throughout Culpeper, Leesburg and Fredericksburg,
7	two out near Roanoke and one in Lynchburg. Adding
8	all that up, probably around 10 or a dozen maybe.
9	MS. DAILEY: When a tandem gets full, does
10	Verizon generally
11	MR. LERNER: I believe "poop out" is the
12	technical term.
13	(Laughter.)
14	MR. ALBERT: They do poop out. And then
15	we all gasp.
16	MS. DAILEY: Does Verizon always does
17	Verizon build a new tandem or does Verizon rehome to
18	an existing tandem? I mean, is there a rule of
19	thumb?
20	MR. ALBERT: Generally, although not

## ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

always, we will be putting a new tandem switch into

the network. The traffic may be rearranged in terms

of if that new tandem will handle both access and local, or if we put in a new tandem and then split so that one is local and the other is access. You can get a couple variations on those themes. But the net effect is we're talking about a new tandem going into the network. So Arlington was a new tandem going into the network. Turner Road was a new access tandem going into the network.

The method of relief, just about always, will involve a new tandem being put in, within several variations on the theme of what does the end picture after the new tandem look like.

MS. DAILEY: How many new tandems does Verizon see on the horizon for, say, the next three years?

MR. ALBERT: I was afraid you'd ask that.

I gave a forecast on the record or projection back in -- when we were dealing with an issue in the WorldCom/AT&T arbitration. In that arbitration, we tried to get a requirement to save on tandem exhaust. We tried to get a requirement that the CLECs would use the same engineering design

paramet	ers	to d	determine	the	quantity	y of	tandem
trunks	that	we	, Verizon,	oui	rselves	used.	

We lost on that issue, but our goal with attempting to get that is that would help to control the amount of tandems, because we've been adding so many due to the explosive CLEC growth, we've gone from zero to 275,000 CLEC trunks in Virginia.

MS. DAILEY: Is there a current forecast?

MR. ALBERT: No. My projection back then had, in addition to the ones that we've had going into Arlington and into Richmond and Norfolk, we did have things on the fairly near-term horizon, I think for Leesburg and for Fredericksburg and for Roanoke.

MS. DAILEY: Are the three that you first mentioned already done?

MR. ALBERT: In progress. Richmond is done. Tidewater is done. We've got like a couple straggler carriers like Comcast that I mentioned in the WashMet Arlington situation.

MS. DAILEY: Is Cavalier in the middle of any tandem rehoming situations like now?

MR. CLIFT: No.

#### ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC.

1	MS. DAILEY: When are these other ones
2	scheduled to come up?
3	MR. ALBERT: My recollection is they were
4	within the Leesburg, Fredericksburg, Roanoke, it was
5	probably within the next three years or so that we
6	were projecting about a year ago.
7	MS. SHETLER: None of those have started?
8	MR. ALBERT: Not that I'm aware of, but I
9	did not check.
10	MS. DAILEY: Cavalier, I want to ask you a
11	few questions. Actually, let me return to Verizon
12	for one follow-up.
13	I understand that there's well, strike
14	that.
15	How long should a typical tandem rehoming
16	take, from start to finish?
17	MR. ALBERT: I'll give you the classic
18	engineering answer of "it depends." The reason for
19	that is when you get into the particulars, there are
20	a number of different variations on how a cutover
21	can be done. I've seen, from when the tandem is
22	installed, the new tandem is installed, I've seen

them be as short as six months, I've seen them be as long as 18 months.

MS. DAILEY: Okay.

MR. ALBERT: What happens is we move

Verizon's traffic first. That's always a common to

new tandems. So -- that's what kind of throws me

about this whole concept of delay. I mean, we

always move our stuff first, our local traffic.

Then we try to work through with all of the other carriers, them getting their traffic moved. Sometimes we get up to a point where we have to set a window and we say okay, everybody, you know, this window is it and you've finally got to get your traffic moved to this -- by this date.

But we do try to be flexible and to accommodate, with all of the IXCs and with the wireless carriers and with the CLECs, you know, stuff that will fit their schedules for their abilities to move traffic. Turner Road, we did have a fairly -- a fairly large IXC was at the beginning of the alphabet, who waited until the very, very, very, very tail end to move their stuff. So the

## ACE-FEDERAL REPORTERS, INC. Nationwide Coverage